

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/607,253	MORRIS, RICHARD D.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew D. Hoel	3713	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Matthew D. Hoel, examiner.

(3) _____.

 (2) Larry Colton, attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 May 2006
Time: morning
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Colton informed application was abandoned. Applicant had passed away and estate did not wish to pursue application.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)